Scott. II-27—40,004—6-11-28.

Law (General) (1929) Department.

Misc SERIES.

G.O. No. 1501

DATED 28-3-1929

[Despatch Abstract.]

Establishment - Appointment of an additional clerk in the District Munsif's court, Tellegal - Sanctioned - Shorthand allowance - refused.

C.F. 30—10,000—7-8-28.

DEPARTMENT.

16

Received ..... 192 . *Registered* 192

Subject.

ENCLOSURES.
No. .....
.....
Spare copies .....

R.O.C. No. 2619/28-D-2.

Station Madras, *Dated the* 24th July 1928.

From (Name) A.C. Happell Esquire, I.C.S.,
(Designation) Registrar, High Court.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Law (General) Department.
through the Accountant-General, Madras.
(with enclosures).

Sir,

Establishment-District Munsif's Court, Kollegal-appointment of an additional clerk-recommended.

At present, the clerical establishment of the District Munsif's Court of Kollegal consists of three clerks including the Head Clerk. The District Judge reports that, consequent on the increase in the file of the court, the clerical work has increased. He adds that the court has no typist and that this has resulted in delay, accumulation and congestion of work, and considerable strain on the few clerks (Vide copy of his letter D.No.4246 dated 26-6-1928). He therefore requests that the establishment may be strengthened

Pp. 3-4 in

2

by the addition of a clerk on Rs.35--60, preferably a shorthand-typist with the usual shorthand allowance. The Hon'ble the Judges agree with him and support his request. I am therefore to request that His Excellency the Governor in Council may be pleased to issue orders accordingly.

2. The extra cost involved in the proposal will be met from the budget grant under "24(e) II-Mufassal Civil and Sessions Courts-Pay of Establishments-Permanent-Voted".

3. A proposition statement in triplicate, is enclosed.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

D. Appalla 26/7.
for Registrar.

V.S.

26-7-28

3/

Copy of letter D.No.4246 dated 26-6-1928 from the District Judge, Coimbatore, to the Registrar, High Court, Madras.

Subject:--District Munsif's Court, Kollegal-Additional Establishment-Application for.

I have the honour to request that the High Court may be pleased to move Government to sanction an additional clerk on Rs.35 to 60 for the establishment of the District Munsif's Court, Kollegal.

2. Till April 1924, the Court had three clerks including the Head Clerk and also a separate Deputy Nazir. 'B' The Deputy Nazir's post was abolished in G.O.No.Misc.1029, Law (General), dated 24-3-1924; and since then, the Deputy Nazir's duties are being discharged by the Head Clerk.

3. A comparative statement showing the institutions and disposals in the several branches of work in that court from 1923 to 1927 is given below.

Year.	O.S.		S.C.Suits.		E.P.S.		M.Ps.		Remarks.
	Instn.	Dis.	Ins.	Dis.	Ins.	Dis.	Ins.	Dis.	
1923	233	197	145	141	236	269	299	314	
1924	221	280	150	166	294	266	421	405	
1925	339	280	142	113	313	328	459	459	
1926	303	356	159	185	315	324	636	669	
1927	363	342	169	147	394	339	547	522	

It is seen therefrom that the file is on the increase, and that the disposals have been commensurate with the institutions. Increase in disposals means more work for the clerks in faircopying judgments and drawing up decrees and entering disposals, etc. The quality of clerical work in this court is expected to be of the same degree as in other Munsif's Courts. The Court has no Typist, and

the faircopying judgments and [illegible] other matters has to be done entirely in manuscript, resulting in delay, accumulation and congestion of work and considerable strain on the few clerks.

4. A District Munsif of seven years' standing in the regular line is allowed a Shorthand-Typist to facilitate work; but that concession has not been extended to the District Munsif of Kollegal, presumably because he is a combined officer, before whom the postings of suits and petitions is restricted to a small number of days in a month. That restriction however does not, in any way, lessen the amount of work his clerks have to do.

5. The court has no separate Examiner of copies as in other District Munsifs' courts, and the Head Clerk has to do those duties also. The Head clerk has thus to do a lot of clerical work which leaves him little or no time for supervision of the work of the other two clerks, one of whom fair copies judgments, decrees and orders, etc, and the other attends to Bench work.

6. For the above reasons, the District Munsif requests that his establishment may be increased by one more clerk. I strongly support his request and recommend that the High Court may be pleased to move Government to sanction the addition of a clerk on Rs.35--60 grade, preferably a Shorthand-Typist with the usual shorthand allowance.

7. A proposition statement is submitted in triplicate.

V.S.

5 A G.O. 3,945 B
7-8-28

No. Jus.IV.Co1-133 OFFICE OF THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL, MADRAS,

Fort St. George, 4th August *192*8.

Enclosures. 5 Schedules

Forwarded.

The entries under column "Present Scale" have been checked and found correct.

There is no audit objection to the proposal being sanctioned by Government.

G.O. No.

6/8

Deputy Accountant General.

mkB

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Law General Department.

T.R.R

Try. XXXIII-10.

Statement of Proposition fo

Code Form 5.

(*See* Chapter 5, Artic

Present scale.										Propo	
		Pay.						Average cost.			
Number. (1)	Designation. (2)	Minimum. (3)		Increment. (4)		Maximum. (5)		(6)		Number. (7)	Designation. (8)
		Rs.	A.	Rs.	A.	Rs.	A.	Rs.	A.		
											Coimbatore District
											District Munsif's court.
	Regular Establishment										
1	Head clerk	60	-	4	-	80	-	75	-	1	Head clerk
2	Clerks @ 47½ each	35	-	35	-	1½-50-1-60		95	-	3	Clerks @ Rs 47½ each with Short-hand allowance according to qualification for one of the clerks
3								170	-	4	
	Copyist Establishment										No C
	Process Service Establishment.										No cl

Note.—If the proposal is a reorganization of establishment, the Head of the Department should certify that to the provisions of art. 429, C.S.R., and should specify any case in which the maximum pension ord

Dated 24-7-193

To

The Secretary to Government, Law (Genl)

thro' the Acc

Revision of Establishment.

T. & A. 10—3,492—21-7-27 (5)

57, Vol. I., C.A.C.)

Proposition.															
scale.					Permanent.				Temporary.						
Pay.				Average cost.	Increase per month.		Decrease per month.		Increase per month.		Decrease per month.		Orders of the sanctioning authority.		
Minimum.	Increment.	Maximum			Amount.		Amount.		Period.	Amount.	Period.	Amount.			
(9)		(10)	(11)		(12)		(13)		(14)		(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)
Rs.	A.	Rs.	A. Rs.	A.	Rs.	A.	Rs.	A.	Rs.	A.		Rs.	A.	Rs.	A.
Collegal.															
30	-	1½	- 80 -	75 -											
15 - 35 - 1½ - 50 - 1 - 60				142 8	+ shorthand allowance according to qualification										
				217 8	47 8 + shorthand allowance according to qualification.										
				+ shorthand allowance according to qualification.											
Range															
Range															
Net increase					47 8 + shorthand allowance according to qualification										

aims to pension that may arise in consequence have been considered with reference
narily admissible will be subject to reduction under that article M. T. M., para. 67

If Local Government is competent to sanction, its order will be written across this column.

Department,

untant-General.

Signature

Officer's Designation Registrar

Try. XXXIII-10.

Code Form 5.

Statement of Proposition f

(*See* Chapter 5, Art

NATURE OF CHARGE.											
Present scale.										Prop	
Number. (1)	Designation. (2)	Pay. Minimum. (3)		Increment. (4)		Maximum. (5)		Average cost. (6)		Number. (7)	Designation. (8)
		RS.	A.	RS.	A.	RS.	A.	RS.	A.		
											Coimbatore district
											District munsif's court
	Regular Establishment										
1	Head clerk	60	-	1	-	80	-	75	-	1	Head clerk
2	clerks @ 47½ each	35	-	35	-	1½	-	50	-	95	- 3 clerks @ Rs 47½ each with writ. Stout
3								170	-	4	hand allowance according to qualification
											Copyist Establishment
											Process Service Establishment.
											No @
											No @

Dated 2 [illegible] 192

NOTE.—If the proposal is a reorganization of establishment, the Head of the Department should certify that a
to the provisions of art. 429, C.S.R., and should specify any case in which the maximum pension ordi

To

The Secretary to Government, [illegible]

thro' the Accou

Revision of Establishment.

T. & A. 10—3,492—21-7-27. (5)

37, Vol. I., C.A.C.)

Scale. Pay. Minimum. (9)	Increment. (10)	Maximum. (11)	Average cost. (12)	Proposition. Permanent. Increase per month. Amount. (13)	Decrease per month. Amount. (14)	Temporary. Increase per month. Period. (15)	Amount. (16)	Decrease per month. Period. (17)	Amount. (18)	Orders of the sanctioning authority. (19)
Rs. A.	Rs. A	Rs. A.	Rs. A.	Rs. A.	Rs. A.		Rs. A.		Rs. A.	
Kolkata C.										
10 .. 1 -	80 -	75 -								
5- 55- 1½ -	80-260	142 8								+ Shorthand allowance according to qualification
		217 8		47 8						+ Shorthand allowance according to qualification
										+ Shorthand allowance according to qualification
Asst C.										
Asst C.										
Asst under sec.				47 8						+ Shorthand allowance according to qualification

Time to pension that may arise in consequence have been considered with reference to which are primarily admissible will be subject to reduction under that article M. T. M., para. 67.

If Local Government is competent to sanction, its order will be written across this column.

Department,

Signature

Accountant-General.

Officer's Designation Registrar

Try., XXXIII-10.

Statement of Proposition [illegible]

Code Form 5.

(*See* Chapter 5, [illegible])

Nature of Charge.								
Present scale.							Pro[illegible]	
Number. (1)	Designation. (2)	Pay. Minimum. (3)	Pay. Increment. (4)	Pay. Maximum. (5)	Average cost. (6)		Number. (7)	Designation. (8)
		Rs. A.	Rs. A.	Rs. A.	Rs.	A.		
								Coimbatore District.
								District munsif's court
	Regular Establishment							
1	Head Clerk	60 -	1/1 -	80 -	75	-	1	Head Clerk
2	Clerks @ 47½ each	35 -	35 - 1½ -	50 - 1 - 60	95	-	3	Clerks @ Rs 47½ each with merit short hand allowance according to qualifications for one of the clerks
3					170	-	4	
	Copyist Establishment							Nil
	Process service Establishment							Nil

Note.—If the proposal is a reorganization of establishment, the Head of the Department should certify that [illegible] to the provisions of art. 429, C.S.R., and should specify any case in which the maximum pension ord[illegible]

Dated 24-7-192[illegible]

To

The Secretary to Government, Law (Genl)

thro' the Acc[illegible]

6 5

Revision of Establishment.

T. & A. 10—3,492—21-7-27. (5)

57, Vol. I., C.A.C.)

d scale.	Pay.			Average cost.	Proposition.						Orders of the sanctioning authority.
					Permanent.		Temporary.				
					Increase per month.	Decrease per month.	Increase per month.		Decrease per month.		
Minimum.	Increment.	Maximum.			Amount.	Amount.	Period.	Amount.	Period.	Amount.	
(9)	(10)	(11)		(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)
Rs. A.	Rs. A.	Rs. A.		Rs. A.	Rs. A.	Rs. A.		Rs. A.		Rs. A.	
Illegal											
- 1 -	40 -	75 -									
15 - 35 -	1½ - 50 -	1-60		142 8	+ Shorthand allowance according to qualification						
				217 8	47 8	+ Shorthand allowance according to qualification					
				+ Shorthand allowance according to qualification							
angl											
angl											
Net increase					47 8	+ Shorthand allowance according to qualification					

aims to pension that may arise in consequence have been considered with reference narily admissible will be subject to reduction under that article M. T. M., para. 67.

If Local Government is competent to sanction, its order will be written across this column.

epartment,

ntant-General.

Signature

Officer's Designation Registrar

Law (Estt.) Dept.

Letter No. 3745/B-L
Ref. 2798

To

The Hon. the Chief Justice,
High Court of Judicature,
Madras.

Hon. Sir,

Establishment - Dt. Munsif's Court Kollegal - appointment of an addl. clerk - Letter from Mr Hatfield, Ro. C. No. 2619/28. D.2, dt. 24.7.28.

I am directed to say that the above proposal is being treated as a Part II scheme for 1929-30.

24.8.28.

Law (General) Department.

PART II SCHEME 1929-30.

Subject : --Appointment of an additional clerk in the District Munsif's Court, Kollegal.

Pp. 1-2 C.F. C.No. 3745 From the Registrar, High Court, R.O.C.No. 2619 / 28 D -2 dated 24th July 1928.

P. 5 C.F. Endorsement of the A.G.No. Jus. IV. Coi-133 d. 4-8-1928

...

Secy.

The Honourable the Judges recommend the appointment of an additional clerk in the District Munsif's Court, Kollegal, consequent on the increase of work in that court. (The Deputy Collector, Kollegal is exercising the powers of a district munsif, at the place.). The figures of institutions and disposals for the two years 1923 and 1927 given below show at a glance the increase in work.

P. 3 C.F.

Year.	O.S.		S.C.		E.P.S.		M.P.S.	
	Institutions	Disposals.	Institutions.	Disposals.	Intitutions.	Disposals.	Institutions.	Disposals.
1923	233	197	145	141	236	269	299	314
1927	363	342	169	147	394	339	547	522
Difference	130	145	24	6	158	70	248	208

The proposal seems to be justified -vide in this connection paragraphs 3 to 5 of the letter from the Dist. Judge, Coimbatore at pages 3 to 4 c.f.

The District Judge and the High Court recommend the sanction of a shorthand allowance in the court. It is submitted that Govt. do not attach any fixed shorthand allowance to district munsif's courts. District munsifs of seven years' standing have been permitted by the High Court to dictate judgments in open court and in courts presided over by such munsifs the

Govt. allow a shorthand allowance, the expenditure being met from a budget provision specially provided for the purpose. The District Munsif's court, Kollegal is presided over by a deputy collector and not by a district munsif of the regular line. The High Court has not permitted this deputy collector to dictate his judgments and it is not likely that it will permit hereafter, as long as the court is presided over by a deputy collector. If the assistance of a shorthand clerk is necessary in the court, a clerk who knows shorthand may be appointed to the proposed post. It is unnecessary to give him any shorthand allowance.

The proposal involves the creation of a permanent appointment and as such it has to be created as a Part II scheme for the next year's budget and placed before the Finance Committee. A draft note for the Finance Committee is submitted. It may be sent to Finance who may be requested to add their portion of the note.

ASSISTANT SECRETARY
13 AUG 1928
MADRAS

25336 GL
15-8-28

P T O

(Please see the note for the Finance Committee below)

35-60
47½ × 12 = 570

page 15r

The Law Dept. has brought forward the appointment of an additional clerk in the District Munsif's court, Tellicherl, as a Part II scheme for 1928-30. The average extra cost of the proposal comes to Rs. 570 per annum.

2. The clerical staff in the Dt. Munsif's court consists of 3 clerks including a head clerk in it. It is said that the court has no typists and as such their copying judgment has to be done in manuscript, resulting in delay, accumulation of work etc. Considering the burden on the few clerks. The statistics on page 3 C.I. show that there has been a general increase of work in the Dt. Munsif court. In the circumstances, Finance may accept the necessity for the additional clerk.

3. The note for the Finance Committee may be accepted and the following added as the note by this Dept:-

"The proposal may be placed before the Finance Committee as a Part II scheme for 1928-30".

Dhvo 'Budget' Genl

Fin no 25336-I & R
22.8.28

CP 4000 SB
23.8.28

Note sent to Press on 24.8.28.

20.8.28

21.8.28.

D.S.

22.8.28.

Law.

Law (Legislative Dept.)

Secy.

May be circulated to Hons. C4 and C3.

23-8-28

23-8-28 C4

C3

ASSISTANT SECRETARY
23 AUG 1928
LAW SECTION

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
23 AUG 1928
LAW DEPARTMENT

4.

Attorney.

The High Comm may be informed.

that the proposal is being treated as a Part II scheme for 1929-30. Draft submitted.

H.M.
11.9.28

Note sent to Finance Deptt. on 4.9.28.

Press.
Please print up and furnish 25 clean copies
HH.
24.8.28.

Law (General) Department.

NOTE FOR THE FINANCE COMMITTEE.

Part II scheme for 1929-30.

Subject :- Appointment of an additional clerk in the district munsif's court, Kollegel.

....

Note by the Administrative department.

Summary

Head of account.	Item of expenditure.	Ultimate cost.	Cost in 1929-30
		Rs	Rs
24-c. Admn.of Justice - Civil and Sessions courts- ii-Mufassal civil and sessions courts- pay of establishments -voted- permanent.	1 clerk on Rs35-60 a month	570	380

Copies of order sent to Finance on 4-9

The Hon'ble the Judges recommend the appointment of an additional clerk on Rs 35-60 a month in the district munsif 's court, Kollegal (the Deputy Collector, Kollegal exercises the powers of a dist. munsif at the place). Up to 1924 the court had three clerks (including the head clerk) and one deputy nazir. In April 1924 the deputy nazir's post was abolished.

Since then the clerical and other work of the court was being attended to by the three clerks. From 1923 onwards there has, however, been a steady increase in both the institutions and disposals. The following statement compares the institutions and disposals during 1923 and 1927.

Year.	O.S.		S.C.		E.P.S.		M.P.S.	
	Institutions.	Disposals.	Institutions.	Disposals.	Institutions.	Disposals.	Institutions.	Disposals.
1923	233	197	145	141	236	269	299	314
1927	363	342	169	147	394	339	547	52

There has been increase in both the institutions and disposals. The court ha

typist and the faircopying of judgments and other matters has to be done entirely in manuscript, resulting in delay, accumulation and congestion of work. The head clerk attends to the duties of the deputy nazir whose post was abolished in 1924. As the court has no separate examiner of copyists, as in other district munsif's courts, the head clerk also attends to the work of an examiner of copyists. There is no time left for him to supervise the work of the other two clerks one of whom faircopies judgment decrees and orders and the other attends to bench work. In the circumstances both the district judge, Coimbatore and the High Court consider that an ~~appointment of an~~ additional clerk is necessary. The proposal is recommended in this department.

14/8.28

14-8-28

14-8-28.

Note by the Finance Dept.

The proposal may be placed before the Finance Committee as a Part II scheme for 1929-30.

E. C. W.
22.8.28

R

H

us

The budget has been passed A draft order is submitted It may be sent to Finance for acceptance.

JD 21-3-29

21-3-29

Please send draft reasons why para 4 for refusing to sanction Bank's demand allowance for the clerk

JY 22/3

us A revised draft submitted

Finance JD 23-3-29

23-3-29

25-3-29

Law 26/3 Fin us

Finance E.R.

Previous Finance notes on Bank

Cond Rly no 3245-B-3 27-3-29

The draft order maybe accepted. The sanction has been registered as No 1070 of 1929-30

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVT. 27 MAR 1929 FINANCE DEPT.

ASSTT. SECRETARY 28 MAR 1929

115 11

Finance 115 11 GR-1 28-3-29

27-3-29

Law (Genl) dept 27-3-29.

Law Genl Dept

The draft order may now issue.

28/3/29

JY 28/3

Scott. II-5 (3)—12,004—6 11-28.

Law(General) **DEPARTMENT.**

	Date.	Initials.
When received for Fair-copying.		
When copied ..		
When examined ..		
When signed ..		
When despatched ..		

Establishment

Appointment of an addl. clerk in the Dt. Munsif's court, Kollegal- sanctioned shorthand allowance- refused.

READ AGAIN G.O. No. ....., dated .....192 .

" " " " ..... " .....192 .

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 3745 B From the High Court R.O.C, No. 2619 D 2, dated 24 7 1928 .

" " ..... " " ..... " ..... " .....192 .

" " ..... " " ..... " ..... " .....192 .

ORDER.

No. 1501, dated 28.3.29 192 .

Mis

The Govt sanction the employment with effect from the 1st April 1929 of an addl. clerk on Rs 35-60 a month in the Dt. Munsif's court, Kollegal.

2. The sanction has been registered as No. 107 J 1929-30.

3. The cost will be met from the appropriation under "24 c Administration of Justice-Civil and Sessions Courts-ii-Mufassal Civil and Sessions Courts- Pay of establishments- Voted - permanent.

4. The Govt observe that the Dt. Munsif, Kollegal has not been empowered to dictate judgments in open Court. In the circumstances the Govt do not consider it necessary to ~~grant~~ sanction a shorthand allowance

'the clerk.

£.

To

The Hon: the C.J. (C.L)

A.C thro' Finance

Finance